Evaluating the impact of sampling strategies and bioinformatics on ethanol-based DNA metabarcoding
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Abstract

Recent developments on ethanol-based DNA (etDNA) metabarcoding have shown that it is possible to extract meaningful information about macroinvertebrate community diversity and composition from the ethanol used to preserve bulk samples. The major advantages of this molecular approach are the reduced processing time and costs, and the possibility to keep specimens intact for other experiments. Yet, organisms with highly sclerotised exoskeleton or that are rare in the sample have been found to release a lower amount of DNA into solution and tend to be consistently missed by etDNA metabarcoding, thereby compromising the viability of the method. Few studies have shown that the first steps of the metabarcoding workflow are crucial for the good performance of etDNA-based assays, such as the decision on storage time before sampling and the ethanol phase to be analysed, the inclusion of pre-treatment strategies (i.e., freezing), and the choice of the DNA extraction protocol. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the combined effect of various
technical choices on the performance of etDNA metabarcoding, considering factors such
as sample volume, ethanol phase of sorted and unsorted samples, pre-capture treatments
(evaporation vs filtration) and bioinformatic pipelines. Through the application of decision-
tree models, our preliminary data revealed that the increase of volume (by itself) is enough
to improve PCR amplification yields and proportion of families matching the morphological
identifications, with great impact on the detection of hard-bodied and cased taxa. Also, no
major differences among phases with or without a sorting step nor among bioinformatic
pipelines were detected, particularly at higher volumes. Our results suggest that the higher
performance (with lower observed variation) in taxonomic detection at higher volumes is
likely a consequence of a higher availability of longer fragments of DNA in solution. This
study highlights the importance of understanding the impact of technical choices to
improve the efficiency of a DNA-based method, and reinstates etDNA metabarcoding as a
potential method in the context of biomonitoring.
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